

45th ESPEN Congress



on Clinical Nutrition & Metabolism

EUROPEAN
SOCIETY FOR
CLINICAL
NUTRITION AND
METABOLISM

Live healthY with Optimal Nutrition Lyon, France | 11-14 September 2023



Accuracy of estimated versus measured resting energy expenditure in older hospitalized patients at the medical ward

<u>A. W. Knudsen¹</u>, S. N. Engelsted^{1,2}, C. M. Møller¹, C. M. Lund³, C. Suetta⁴, H. H. Rasmussen^{1,5}, T. Munk¹

¹The Dietitians and Nutritional Research Unit, EATEN, Copenhagen University Hospital - Herlev and Gentofte, Copenhagen, Denmark. ²University College Copenhagen, Faculty of Health, Department of Nursing and Nutrition, Institute of Nutrition and Health, Copenhagen, Denmark. ³Department of Medicine, Copenhagen University Hospital, Herlev and Gentofte, Denmark. ⁴Department of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Denmark; Geriatric Research Unit, Department of Geriatric and Palliative Medicine, Copenhagen University Hospital, Bispebjerg, Copenhagen, Denmark. ⁵Center for Nutrition and Bowel Disease, Aalborg University Hospital, Clinical Institute, Aalborg University.

INTRODUCTION

Indirect calorimetry (IC) is considered the Gold Standard to measure resting energy expenditure (mREE) in clinical practice. However, this method is more time-consuming than using estimates (eREE).

RESULTS

- We included 110 patients (58% women), mean age 82 (±7.8) years (*Table 1*).
- Compared with IC the H-B-equation underestimated with a mean of -286 kJ (± 969) (*Figure 1*).
- Accordance between mREE and eREE was found in n=57 (52%). Using the H-B equation REE was overestimated in n=18 (16%) and underestimated in n=35 (32%) of patients (*Figure 2*).
- Underestimation by using the H-B equation compared with IC was significantly correlated with having a higher value of the variables illustrated in *Figure 3*.

AIM

The *aims of this* study were to determine;

- the accuracy between estimated and measured energy requirement
- if specific variables were related to the difference between eREE and mREE.

METHOD

The patient's mREE was assessed with IC until a minimum of 5 minutes with a Coefficient of Variation < 10% was obtained^a.

The mREE was compared with eREE calculated from the Harris-Benedict equation (H-B). A variation of ± 10% was regarded as an acceptable variation value.

The following variables were registered:

- Body Mass Index (BMI)
- Body temperature, Heart rate, Middle Arterial Pressure (MAP), Respiration Frequency (RF)
- p-C-Reactive Protein (p-CRP), b-Leucocytes, p-Albumin, and CRP/albumin-ratio.

No significant correlation was found between underestimation and: BMI (p=0.086), MAP (p=0.401), RF (p=0.258), p-albumin (p=0.254).

Table 1. Patient characteristics	n=110	
Sex, women, n (%)	64 (58%)	
Age, years, mean ± SD	82 ± 7.8	
BMI, kg/m ² , median (IQR)	24 (21-29)	
NRS-2022, ≥ 3 points, n (%)	53 (48%)	
Wards patients were included from		
Geriatrics, n (%)	43 (39%)	
Endocrinology, n (%)	42 (38%)	
Infection medicine, n (%)	25 (23%)	

Compared with IC the H-B-equation underestimated with a mean

Mean - (eREE+mREE)/2, kJ

o diffm1m2 — Regression

Figure 1. Bland-Altman plot

of -286 kJ (±969).

 $\overline{\mathbf{z}}$

Э,

Difference (eREE-mRE

6) 3 29)



S.N. Engelste Privat picture

P042-T



Figure 3. Variables correlated with difference in REE (eREE-mREE) Underestimation by using the H-B equation compared with IC was correlated with higher values of the

CONCLUSIONS

By using the H-B-equation (eREE) about half of the patients differ more than ±10% from the measured energy requirement (mREE).

Several infectious variables are correlated with an increase in mREE compared with estimated by the H-B equation (eREE). variables illustrated in the scatter-plots (p<0.05 is regarded as significant, correlations, pearson/spearman).



 \mathbf{O} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{O}

REFERENCES

^a Compher C, Frankenfield D, Keim N, Roth-Yousey L. Best Practice Methods to Apply to Measurement of Resting Metabolic Rate in Adults: A Systematic Review. J Am Diet Assoc. 2006 Jun;106(6):881–903.







ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Copenhagen University Hospital – Herlev Gentofte, Copenhagen, Denmark,

University College Copenhagen, Faculty of Health, Department of Nursing and Nutrition, Institute of Nutrition and Health, Copenhagen, Denmark.

DSKEs Nutricia Science grant.

CONTACT INFORMATION

anne.wilkens.knudsen.01@ regionh.dk

